Sh.

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF JERRY APPLE, 4 PCHB No. 996 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 STATE OF WASHINGTON, AND ORDER 7 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, Respondent. 3 9

These matters, the appeals from two civil penalties (Docket Nos. DE 76-109 and 110) and notice of violation (Docket No. DE 76-111) came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Chris Smith and Dave J. Mooney at a formal hearing in Lacey, Washington on May 6, 1977. Hearing examiner David Akana presided.

Appellant appeared through his attorney, Ramon Escure; respondent appeared through Laura E. Eckert, Assistant Attorney General.

Respondent's Motion to Dismiss the appeal on the grounds of non-compliance with WAC 371-08-075 and 371-08-080 in Docket Nos. DE 76-109

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

and 110 and to dismiss Docket No. DE 76-111 on the ground that the subject notice of violation did not constitute a final order of the Department under chapter 90.48 RCW was heard as a preliminary matter. The motion as to non-compliance with WAC 371-08-075 and 371-08-080 in Docket Nos. DE 76-109 and 110 should be denied and as to the notice of violation in Docket No. DE 76-111 should be granted.

Counsel for respondent made an opening statement. Witnesses were sworn; exhibits were admitted.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, having considered the Stipulation of Facts filed on August 16, 1977, and being fully advised, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I

Appellant is the owner of certain property located at the intersection of 21st Street and Milton Way in Milton, Washington (hereinafter "Apple site") upon which solid waste materials have been deposited.

Beginning October 3, 1974, appellant was possessed of a solid waste landfill permit limited to deposits of bark, rock, and asphalt issued by the Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department. On January 3, 1975 as a result of complaints received by respondent, an inspector visited the Apple site. Because numerous violations of the permit conditions were noted at that and on other occasions, respondent, on February 7, 1975, recommended that the Health Department suspend appellant's permit and that further action be taken to correct the discharge of leachates. The permit was subsequently revoked by the Health Department.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

3

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

27

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER ΙI

On December 16, 1975 and on other occasions, leachate left the Apple site through a drainage ditch under a road, to a pond located on a neighbor's property. From the pond, the leachate travelled to a concrete box, through a culvert, and onto the shores of and into Surprise Lake, a public water of the state. Appellant either deposited or supervised the depositing of wood materials on the Apple site which produced the leachate that caused the water pollution in question.

III

For the occurrence on December 16, 1975, appellant was assessed a \$500 civil penalty (Docket No. DE 76-109) which penalty is one matter appealed to this Board.

IV

Appellant has since isolated the solid waste materials at the Apple site with clay borders and covering. Respondent's inspector did not find leachates on his subsequent visits to the site.

v

Beginning in March of 1975, on a second piece of property located adjacent to 5th Street Northwest near the Town of Milton (hereinafter "Olson site"), appellant arranged to have woodwaste product from a nearby pulp mill deposited on the site at the request of the property owner. Appellant supervised the placement of materials and personally spread the materials with a bulldozer. No permit for the disposal of solid waste was issued for the Olson site. As a result of citizens' complaints, respondent's inspector visited the Olson site on December 15, 1975 and on numerous subsequent occasions. At that time, approximately 30,000

cubic yards of woodwastes were at the site causing leaching into drainage water. The fill thus created by appellant altered the natural drainage pattern of the ground enabling the leachates to enter Hylebos Creek, a public water of the state, through drainage ditches at certain times, one of which was on December 16, 1975.

VΤ

For the occurrence on December 16, 1975, appellant was assessed a \$250 civil penalty (Docket No. DE 76-110) which penalty is the second matter appealed to this Board.

VII

The problem created by appellant at the Olson site is a continuing one. The Department's solution to appellant's problem requires the complete removal of the deposited wastes.

VIII

Leachates sampled at the Olson and Apple sites on December 16, 1975 were water contaminants which altered the physical, chemical or biological properties of the receiving waters of the state.

IX

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Board has jurisdiction over the persons and over the subject matter of this proceeding which is the alleged violation of chapter

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 4

90.48 RCW. The fact that dumping at the Apple site was authorized by a solid waste disposal permit pursuant to chapter 70.95 RCW does not authorize the violation of another statute at that site.

II

RCW 90.48.080 provides in part that:

It shall be unlawful for any person to throw, drain, run, or otherwise discharge into any of the waters of this state, or to cause, permit or suffer to be thrown, run, drained, allowed to seep or otherwise discharged into such waters any organic or inorganic matter that shall cause or tend to cause pollution of such waters . . .

RCW 90.48.144 provides in part that:

Every person who . . . [v]iolates the provisions of RCW 90.48.080, shall incur, in addition to any other penalty as provided by law, a penalty in an amount of up to five thousand dollars a day for every such violation. . . . Every act of commission or omission which procures, aids or abets in the violation shall be considered a violation under the provisions of this section and subject to the penalty herein provided for. . . .

III

On December 16, 1975, appellant violated RCW 90.48.080 at the Apple site and at the Olson site for which civil penalties were properly assessed pursuant to RCW 90.48.144. The civil penalties are reasonable in amount and should be affirmed.

IV

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Conclusions, the Pollution Control Hearings Board enters this

ORDER

1. Respondent's Motion to Dismiss appellant's appeal in Docket

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 5

No. DE 76-111 is granted and the appeal thereto is dismissed. The \$500 civil penalty (Docket No. DE 76-109) is affirmed. The \$250 civil penalty (Docket No. DE 76-110) is affirmed. 3. DONE this 39th day of POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD  $2\overline{2}$ 

S F No 9928-A

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER